# Turning Warrants Assessment

Proposed Community Title Subdivision Development

Prepared for Pasialis Super Fund & Cafabe Pty Ltd

Site address Lot 3 DP 846470 48 Jervis Bay Road, Falls Creek, NSW, 2540

Date 7<sup>th</sup> February 2020

# allen price & scarratts pty ltd land and development consultants



## **Copyright Statement**

© Allen Price & Scarratts Pty Ltd 2019

Other than as permitted by the Copyright Act 1968, no part of this report may be reproduced, transmitted, stored in a retrieval system or adapted in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise) without written permissions. Enquiries should be addressed to Allen Price & Scarratts Pty Ltd.

The document may only be used for the purposes for which it was commissioned. Unauthorised use of this document in any form whatsoever is prohibited. Allen Price & Scarratts Pty Ltd assumes no responsibility where the document is used for purposes other than those for which it was commissioned.

This report has been prepared on behalf of and for the exclusive use of the Client, and is subject to and issued in connection with the provisions of the agreement between Allen Price & Scarratts Pty Ltd and the Client. Allen Price & Scarratts Pty Ltd accepts no liability or responsibility whatsoever for or in respect of any use of or reliance upon this report by any third party.

Nowra Office: 75 Plunkett Street, Nowra NSW 2541 • PO Box 73, Nowra 2541 Kiama Office: 1/28 Bong Bong Street, Kiama NSW 2533 • PO Box 209, Kiama 2533 tel 02 4421 6544 • fax 02 4422 1821 • email consultants@allenprice.com.au

ABN 62 609 045 972



# Turning Warrant Assessment

Community Title Subdivision Development

## Prepared for

Pasialis Super Fund & Cafabe Pty Ltd

#### Location

Lot 3 DP 846470 48 Jervis Bay Road, Falls Creek, NSW, 2540

Prepared by

Allen, Price & Scarratts Pty Ltd Land and Development Consultants 75 Plunkett Street NOWRA NSW 2541

#### Author

*Ryaກ໌ Howes* Senior Civil Engineer MIEAust, BE(Hons 1) Civil



# Table of Contents

Introduction	5
Existing traffic volumes for turning warrant assessments	5
Traffic Generation Estimations	
Turning Warrants	6
Conclusions	

# Appendices

#### **Table of Revisions**

Rev	Date	Details
0	February 2020	ISSUED FOR CONCEPT APPROVAL



# Introduction

This assessment has been prepared to support a Planning Proposal over the land known as 48 Jervis Bay Road, Falls Creek for a 14 lot community title subdivision.

Allen, Price & Scarratts Pty Ltd have been engaged to prepare this Turning Warrants Assessment to supplement the traffic assessment already prepared and integrated within Section 5.3.3 of the Statement of Environmental Effects. This includes consideration of existing and proposed traffic volumes and peaks, V85 traffic speeds, traffic generation and assess these against the Turning Warrants provided in Austroads Guide to Traffic Management Part 6 2019.

For a description of the proposed development and existing site / locality see the Statement of Environmental Effects and Planning Report ref 15/97 prepared by Cowman Stoddart Pty Ltd.

## Existing traffic volumes for turning warrant assessments

The provided traffic data from Jervis Bay Road was sampled at two locations in 2016. The data has been filtered for direction and extrapolated at an anticipated 3% per annum growth rate (standard adopted by SCC for rural areas) to adjust the data to 2019, with a further forecast of 10 years for 2029 also being provided. In addition, a seasonal fluctuation of 10% is also considered. See the table below for a summary of the data.

		2016		2020		2029	
		AADT	Veh/h	AADT	Veh/h	AADT	Veh/h
			(peak)		(peak)		(peak)
Location 1	Northbound	3,858	606	4,343	683	5,836	917
	Southbound	3,946	663	4,442	747	5,969	1,003
Location 2	Northbound	3,866	609	4,352	686	5,848	922
	Southbound	3,968	667	4,467	751	6,002	1,009
Adopted	Northbound	3,862	608	4,348	685	5,842	920
Averages	Southbound	3,957	665	4,455	749	5,986	1,006
Seasonal	Northbound	4,249	669	4,783	754	6,427	1,012
Seasonal	Southbound	4,353	732	4,901	824	6,585	1,107

It can be seen from the vehicle count data supplied that a slight 'commuter' pattern is formed with the peak northbound traffic occurring in the morning and southbound peak occurring in the afternoon. This split is not as large as we would typically expect to see, suggesting that there is a significant commuter presence heading towards the Princes Highway as well as the south-eastern townships in this area. The percentage peak split is approximately 60:40 and this same split ratio will be applied to the new development traffic to determine an approximate distribution for travel direction.

# Traffic Generation Estimations

Section 5.3.3 of the Statement of Environmental Effects and Planning Report has determined that the development will generate 120 vehicle trips per day with a peak hour of 12 vehicles.

As the development size is fixed (without future approvals) there is no anticipated growth factor to be applied to these rates, however they would still be subject to seasonal fluctuations which will be considered in the turning warrant assessments.



As per the above section, the anticipated travel direction split is at a 60:40 ratio and hence we will assume that of the 12 peak hour vehicle trips generated by the development 7 will be northbound in the morning with the remaining 5 heading south. This will then flip for the evening traffic flows.

## **Turning Warrants**

Austroads Guide to Traffic Management Part 6 details Intersections, Interchanges and Crossing requirements at roadway intersections. AGTM Part 6 Figure 2.25 lists the turning warrant charts as applicable to unsignalized intersections for various design speeds. As the existing speed zone is 100km/h and V85 is assessed in the SoEE Section 5.3.3 as between 98.3km.h and 103.7km.h it is considered appropriate to adopt 100km/h as the design speed.

It can be seen that the relevant equations for a two-lane two-way road for right and left turns are:

Road type	Turn type	Splitter island	Q <sub>M</sub> (veh/h)
Two-lane two-way	Right	No	$= Q_{T1} + Q_{T2} + Q_L$
		Yes	= Q <sub>T1</sub> + Q <sub>T2</sub>
	Left	Yes or no	= Q <sub>T2</sub>

First considering the right turning movement, as no splitter island is currently proposed the equation becomes:

$$Q_{\rm M} = Q_{\rm T1} + Q_{\rm T2} + Q_{\rm L}$$

To determined  $Q_M$  for the right turn we need to consider traffic in a particular time selection. For the maximum value of  $Q_L$  we would consider this turn to occur in the evening when the peak commuting traffic will be returning to the development. This will coincide with the peak southbound traffic average representing  $Q_{T1}$ , however  $Q_{T2}$  will not coincide with the northbound peak as it is established that occurs in the morning. We therefore adopt an average 85% peak value for the opposing traffic regardless of direction which is 335 veh/h in 2016. This yields:

For the left turn the calculation is much simpler however there are two distinct possibilities. If we assess when  $Q_{T2}$  is at maximum (northbound AM peak) then  $Q_L$  will be nearly non-existent as there will be very little traffic desiring to enter the subdivision coming from the south. Alternatively, if we assess when the expected  $Q_L$  maximum will occur (southbound PM peak) we get the much lower  $Q_{T2}$  value established above of 335veh/h. Hence two options are plotted:

 $Q_{M-2020} = 685$  $Q_{M-2029} = 920$  $Q_L = 5$ 

AND

Q<sub>M-2020</sub> = 377 Q<sub>M-2029</sub> = 507

Traffic Statement for miHubb Pty Ltd Page 6 06 June 2018 – AP&S Ref. N27349



# Q<sub>L</sub> = 7



Plotted on the turning warrants curves this data shows:

It can be seen from the Figure above that under some circumstances the traffic peak flows indicated would recommend a CHR(s) / AUL(s) to be provided at this intersection, while other scenarios would indicate BAR/BAL is sufficient. It is noted that the  $Q_R$  assessment for the 2029 extrapolation is not able to be plotted on these axes.

It is also noted that seasonal traffic flow would push these charts further to the right - generally suggesting the 'larger' intersection options are required.

Given the good sight distances available in the area it is recommended based on these warrants that a BAL style intersection be used for left turn treatments as the anticipated traffic flow into the development from a southern direction is very minor.

For right turn treatments however the existing traffic volumes require a higher intersection treatment. Due to the good sight distance available as well as the very low peak movements into the subdivision it is recommended that a CHR(s) treatment be applied to right turning movements.

## Conclusions

The proposed development will generate minor additional traffic movements to and from the site.

Generally, traffic numbers are relatively low compared to existing traffic (~12peak hour movements twoway in the worst-case scenario) thus creating minimal impact on Jervis Bay Road with approx. 1 vehicle per 5 minutes of peak traffic flow

It is recommended that a CHR(s)/BAL intersection be provided at the intersection with Jervis Bay Road to adequately address the Turning Warrants in accordance with Austroads Guide to Traffic Management Part 6 2019.



<u>Appendix A</u> <u>Site Plan</u>





Q Z	
SURVEYOR DRAWN DATE DATUM ORIGIN RL	a LANDSCAPING 5 WIDE
GB TD SL 13 DEC 2019 AHD APPROX SIX TOPO MAP	ING EASEMENT
1:3000 A3 scale sleet 1 of 1 ref 12604-2 rev 6	

